

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,276	08/22/2003	Matthew J. Knox	11721-032	9333
75	90 05/24/2006		EXAMINER	
Sally J. Brown			NGUYEN, PHUNG	
Autoliv ASP, In 3350 Airport Ro			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Ogden, ÛT 84		2612		
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				S.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/646,276	KNOX, MATTHEW J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phung T. Nguyen	2612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT D	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 F</u>	ebruary 2006					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under			J 1.0			
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-15,17-19 and 21-33</u> is/are pend	ding in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
_	Claim(s) 9,17 and 27-33 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-15,18,19 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 26 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	:1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	1			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
. <u>-</u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		<del></del>				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t racaived				
	or the accorded detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot	rieceiveu.				
Attachmen	t(s) .						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:		•			
0.0.4. 4.7	1.05						

Application/Control Number: 10/646,276

Art Unit: 2612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 21-26 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 21-26 depend from the cancelled claim 20.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-15, 18, 19, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtis et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,623,032) in view of Barnes et al. (U.S. 2004/0011277) and further in view of Blake (U.S. Pat. 5,154,446).

Regarding claim 1: Curtis et al. disclose belt force sensor comprising first and second members contacting the first web surface of the seat belt; a third member located between the first and second members and contacting the second web surface of the seat belt, the third member providing a response to the tension of the seat belt; a force sensing device coupled to the third member (fig. 2, col. 3, lines 12-19). Curtis et al. teach sensing movement of the third member 36 corresponds to the tension of the seat belt but fail to teach the device being adapted to alert the operator when the response of the third member corresponds to the tension of the seat belt being within the predetermined range. However, Barnes et al. disclose seat belt tension

Clip in the system of the combination if desired.

Art Unit: 2612

sensing device which comprises alerting the operator when the seat belt is in improper position (paragraph 0011, 0013, and 0016)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teaching of Barnes et al. in the system of Curtis et al. because alerting the operator when the seat belt is in improper position would provide a safety system for vehicles. Curtis et al. and Barnes et al. do not teach the claimed wherein the first, second and third members cooperate to form an S-Clip. However, the use of S-Clip is old and well known in the art as taught by Blake (abstract, and col. 2, lines 47-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to utilize the conventional S-

Regarding claim 2: Curtis et al. disclose the force sensing device including an element adapted to sense a torsional load applied to in the third member, the torsional load being created in response to the tension of the seat belt (col. 3, lines 20-32).

**Regarding claim 3:** Curtis et al. disclose the force sensing device including a printed circuit board in communication with the element as shown in figure 2.

Regarding claim 5: Curtis et al. disclose wherein the S-clip forms a tooth to retain the seat belt (col. 3, lines 20-22).

**Regarding claim 6:** Curtis et al. disclose wherein the first member forms a first leg of the S-clip, the second member forms a second leg of the S-clip, the third member forms a center section of the S-clip as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 7: Barnes et al. disclose a light to provide a visual alert to the operator when the tension is within the predetermined range (paragraph 0013).

**Regarding claim 8:** Barnes et al. disclose a tone generator to provide an audible alert to the operator when the tension is within the predetermined range (paragraph 0013).

Regarding claim 10: Curtis et al. inherently disclose the force sensing device including a biasing member coupled to the third member as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 11: Curtis et al. disclose wherein the third member is displaced in response to the tension in the seat belt (col. 3, lines 20-32).

Regarding claim 12: Curtis et al. and Barnes et al. and Blake do not show wherein the first member includes a roller for contacting the seat belt. However, it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to have the member including a roller for easily contacting the seat belt.

Regarding claim 13: Curtis et al. and Barnes et al. and Blake do not show wherein the third member is comprised of a pin assembly having a rounded head to contact the seat belt as claimed. However, it would be obvious to have a rounded head to contact the seat belt if desired.

Regarding claim 14: Refer to claim 12 above.

Regarding claim 15: Refer to claim 12 above.

Regarding claim 18: Blake discloses wherein the first, and third member are oriented in a S shaped configuration (abstract).

Regarding claim 19: All the claimed subject matter is already discussed in respect to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 21: Refer to claim 5 above.

Regarding claim 22: Refer to claim 6 above.

**Regarding claim 23:** Refer to claim 3 above.

**Regarding claim 24:** Refer to claim 7 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,276

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 25: Refer to claim 8 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9, 17, and 27-33 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Phung T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2968. The examiner

can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm Mon thru. Friday, with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Phung Nguyen

Date: May 18, 2006

Page 5